

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/954,771 10/20/1997		10/20/1997	PHILIP W. INGHAM	HMSU-P11-006 6520		
28120	7590	01/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROPES & G			BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T			
BOSTON, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1646		

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Michael Brannock    Michael Brannock   1446			08/954,771	INGHAM ET AL.				
Previol for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of own may be available used to the post-sense of own may be available used to the post-sense of own may be available used to the post-sense of own may be available used to the post-sense of own may be available used to the post-sense of own may be available used to the post-sense of own may be available on the previous of the previ		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of sine may be available undo to a provisions of 37 CFR 1.158(a). In an event, however, may a right be finely filled.  - Extensions of sine may be available undo to a provisions of 37 CFR 1.158(a). In an event, however, may a right be finely filled.  - Extensions of sine may be available undo to be appreciated of 37 CFR 1.158(a). In an event, however, may a right be finely filled.  - Extensions of sine may be available undo to be appreciated for the provisional pr				l l				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editarious or form may be available under the provides of 32 CFR 1.136(a). In on event, however, may a reply be limity filed after 5x (c) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the com	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 123-172 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 ☐ Claim(s) 123-172 is/are rejected.  5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 November 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  *Attachment(s)  *Attachment(s)  **Index of PTO-1499 Paper No(s) ☐ ** Other:**  **Other Data Paper No(s) ☐ ** Other:**  **Attachment(s)  **Declared The PTO-1499 Paper No(s) ☐ ** Other:**  **Attachm	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>							
3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 123-172 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 123-172 is/are rejected. 6)  Claim(s) 123-172 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 November 2002 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheelfs) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 7) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 7) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 7) Notice of	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ly 2003.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 123-172 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 123-172 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 November 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This a	action is non-final.					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 123-172 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected to.  8] ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected to.  8] ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 November 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.7	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 November 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6)	Dispositi	on of Claims						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 November 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) ☐ Other:	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) <u>123-172</u> is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 November 2002 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.   3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		·	election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 November 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colling None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some collaboration of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				ad to by the Everniner				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  10 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  51 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  63 Other:								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  11) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  22) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific</li> </ul>							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  Other:	Attachment(s)							
	2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 1646

### **Detailed Action**

Status of Application: Claims and Amendments

Claims 123-166 and new claims 167-172 are pending.

Applicant is notified that the amendments put forth on 7/16/03, have been entered in full.

## Double Patenting

Claims 123-172 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 11-13 of copending Application No. 08/462386. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: *in vitro* methods of promoting the growth, differentiation and/or survival of neuronal cells by contacting the cells with a sonic hedgehog protein.

Applicant's intention to provide a terminal disclaimer is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 123-172 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as set forth previously, because the specification, while being enabling for methods of promoting growth, differentiation and/or survival of embryonic neuronal cells by administering a polypeptide (sonic hedgehog) of SEQ ID NO: 8, 11, 12, and 13 or an N-terminal autoproteolytic portion thereof (as

Art Unit: 1646

described in the specification), does not reasonably provide enablement for administering a polypeptide other than a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 8, 11, 12, and 13, nor for the administration of portions of the polypeptides other than that of the N-terminal autoproteolytic portion, and nor does the specification provide enablement for promoting growth, differentiation and/or survival of neuronal cells other than embryonic cells. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims, for the reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments have been largely addressed previously. Applicant argues that the specification clearly contemplates that hedgehog polypeptides can be used to influence not only the behavior of embryonic cells and tissues but also the behavior of adult cells. This argument has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. The specification simply provides the speculation that adult cells would respond to hedgehog polypeptides (e.g. page 63, lines 12-32). The specification suggests/speculates that hedgehog polypeptides might be active in a multitude of neurologic systems and cell types (e.g. pages 63-66). In fact, most, if not all, aspects of neurobiology appear to be encompassed by the suggestions put forth in the specification. The highly skilled artisan would thus appreciate that the contemplations of the specification amount to no more than an invitation to begin a research plan to try to find areas of the adult nervous system that could be manipulated with hedgehog polypeptides, and then to try to find useful ways to manipulate such areas. The post-filing date references and Declarations, referred to by Applicant, are examples of the type extensive research and investigation that the specification has invited the artisan to perform. Thus, the skilled artisan would not be able to make and use

Art Unit: 1646

the invention as claimed without the benefit of such post-filing date information. The invitation to perform such extensive research is not a substitute for such research.

Applicant provides a multitude of examples wherein one species of sonic hedgehog protein is effective in another species (pg 14), and concludes (pg 15) that hedgehog signaling is tolerant to some variation in the sequence of the hedgehog protein. This argument has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. First, Applicant is reminded that the claims have been indicated to be enabled for sonic hedgehog from each of the disclosed species (see above). Second, regarding the concept of signaling being tolerant to sequence variation, there is variation between the naturally occurring sonic hedgehog proteins, referred to in Applicant's examples; yet this variation has occurred under the constraint of over 100 million years of selective pressure during the evolution of these species. These differences have arisen through random mutation, and those that did not function have been eliminated. The specification has provided little more than this strategy of evolution to guide the artisan in the construction of mutants that will function as required. The artisan is simply invited to embark on an essentially random trial and error process of experimentation wherein in amino acids are substituted/added/deleted from the parent sequence and then assayed for activity to try to find variants that work. Third, the claims stipulate that the protein be required to have some effect on neuronal cells, and the specification has not provided a rapid assay such that the artisan would expected that screening for variants would be routine. Fourth, the task of assaying the mutants for function is complicated by the fact that the specification has failed to teach exactly what function should be expected in adult tissues. Thus, the extensive experimentation required to make and test the genus of mutants encompassed by the claims is unduly burdensome.

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant's arguments regarding the number of inoperable embodiments that are allowed to be in a claim are unpersuasive because the issue is that the specification has failed to teach how to make operable embodiments without undue experimentation.

Applicant argues that because of the suggestions provided in the specification regarding hedgehog proteins and known disorders, the skilled artisan would be motivated to practice the invention. Applicant also asserts that the examiner has based the rejection on the argument that "one of skill in the art would not be motivated to experiment on adult neuronal cells based on Applicant disclosure" see page 16 of Paper 45. However, the examiner can find no mention of such an argument. To the contrary, it is agreed that the skilled artisan would be motivated to look for effects of hedgehog proteins in the adult, as is evidenced by the tremendous volume of post-filing date research on the subject. However, the issue is that an invitation to perform this research is not a substitute for the facts that come from such research, e.g. what cell types are amenable to manipulation, which are not, and how, in particular, can an individual cell-type be manipulated. While it may be routine in the art of scientific investigation to begin to answer these types of questions, an invitation to begin the quest, does not provide enablement.

Applicant's arguments regarding the expression of patched have been substantially addressed previously. As set forth previously, the specification discloses experiments that indicate sonic hedgehog is not expressed in adult tissues (see page 110, lines 10-11). One of skill in the art would therefore expect that adult tissues would not be responsive to sonic hedgehog in the same way that embryonic tissues are, or perhaps not responsive at all. The specification has provided no guidance as to the nature of the response of adult tissues to sonic hedgehog. Additionally, Applicant admits that the specification does not provide any information

Art Unit: 1646

about patched expression in the adult either. Applicant, provides a pre-filing date reference (Takabatake et al., FEBS Letts 410(485-489)1997) that indicates that Shh and patched are expressed in adult neural ocular tissues and other adult tissues. Takabatake et al., merely speculate that "judging from their roles in embryos, hh molecules might function in certain cell-cell communications between deferent types of tissues in the adult eye" (see col 1 of page 489).

Applicant argues that the concept of using embryonically derived proteins as, inter alia, trophic factors in adult tissues is well established in the art, and that at the time of filing, one of skill in the art would appreciate that signaling molecules that are expressed in embryonic tissues are also used in the adult. This argument has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. The particular neurotrophin family members that are the subject of Applicant's cited references are completely unrelated, structurally and functionally, to hedgehog proteins. Further, neurotrophins are known to be important both during development and in the adult as trophic factors for neurons - as is well established in the art. Additionally, the state of the art of hedgehog signaling in adult tissues, at the time of filing, was extraordinarily primitive compared to that of the neurotrophins. Triffort et al., Journal of Neurochemistry 70(1327-1330)1998, describe the state of the art as follows: "The roles of HH signaling in adult vertebrates have been poorly documented so far, particularly in the brain where Ptc and Smo transcripts have been identified", see the last paragraph of col 1 of page 1327. Thus, even if it is agreed that the artisan would be motivated to look for effects of hedgehog proteins in the adult nervous system, the artisan would be required to perform extensive research and investigation to determine what cell types were amenable to manipulation with sonic hedgehog. Further, Miao et al., J. Neuroscience, 17(15)5891-5899, 1997 state that "there is no direct correlation between the

Art Unit: 1646

neuron phenotypes induced by Shh and those supported by Shh in a trophic manner", see col 1 of page 5898. Thus, the particular teachings in the specification regarding embryonic expression of hedgehog and manipulation of embryonic tissues could not be expected to provide the artisan with the knowledge required to manipulate adult tissues.

### Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Art Unit: 1646

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0871.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB

January 19, 2004

VONNE EYLEN, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER